

MINUTES OF MEETING
held on Thursday 5th September 2019
@ 7.30pm
in the Village Hall

**Curry
Rivel
Parish
Council**



Above the levels

PRESENT:- Cllrs Andy Anderson, Robert Atkins, Peter Goodenough, Tony Greenaway, Les Hood, Simon Scarborough, Derek Yeomans, County Cllr Clare Paul and District Cllr Tiffany Osborne.

663). RESIGNATION OF Cllr DAVID WADESON

Cllr Andy Anderson said that Cllr David Wadeson had offered his resignation because he considered that his age and health were against him carrying out his duties as a Parish Councillor. For these reasons he was standing down from the Parish Council. He thanked the Council for its consideration and he wished it well for the future.

Cllr Andy Anderson had accepted his resignation and had replied thanking him for the work he had done thus far for the Council.

664). CO-OPTION OF TWO COUNCILLORS

Cllr Andy Anderson said that two candidates had come forward in respect of the vacancies; Ed Rosa and Terry Mounter.

- a). Terry Mounter advised that he was seventy-three years of age and a semi-retired civil engineer. He had lived in the village all his life and his children had been schooled locally. He had sixteen years' experience as a District Councillor. He had also served on the Parish Council for a number of years and had served as Chairman for three of those years. He now had more spare time and there are issues on your agendas that he could offer in depth knowledge on. He felt that he had something to offer and hoped that the members would consider his application favourably.

A vote was taken and it was unanimously agreed to accept Terry Mounter's application to become a Parish Councillor. He was invited to join the members.

- b). Cllr Andy Anderson said that Ed Rosa of the Firehouse also wished to be considered. He had arrived rather early and it had been suggested that he should return at 7.30pm but, he has not yet returned.

665). DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

Cllr Andy Anderson asked if there were any declarations of interest and drew attention to the fact that Item 9 on the Agenda is for a decision to be made on the way forward for a new Village Hall Feasibility Study. Cllr Terry Mounter enquired whether it was for a proposed feasibility study or the actual details of it. Cllr Andy Anderson said it was only a proposal.

Cllr Terry Mounter said he was well aware of criteria regarding the 'declaration of interests. He had a non-pecuniary interest as a neighbour close to the proposal and did not want to declare an interest at this time. Cllr Andy Anderson asked why, if he voted against the feasibility study, as an example, would that not be a conflict of interests. Cllr Terry Mounter said that as his property was adjacent to the field, he did not think it would disbar him. He would take advice if it was thought necessary. Personally, he felt that to abandon this hall would be a retrograde step and he would have a right to

have an opinion on that. When it comes to the details of whether a new village hall should be built and the proposed location there could well be a conflict of interests at that stage.

Cllr Andy Anderson felt that there was a personal conflict of interests and from the Council's perspective, the feasibility study will then form the feasibility of building a village hall within that particular field. Once that is done, if the Parish Council decides to move forward with that feasibility study, then the proposed village hall will be taken to the village as a referendum in order for the parishioners within the village to decide whether or not that goes through. From the perspective of the feasibility study he did feel that there was a personal interest and he would wish Cllr Terry Mounter to declare an interest in that. Cllr Terry Mounter said that as his house is nearly adjacent to the field proposed for a future village hall, as a compromise, he would not take part in the discussion of this matter. However, he was in favour of remaining in the room to listen to the debate. Cllr Andy Anderson said he would like Cllr Terry Mounter to leave the room and Cllr Terry Mounter declined to leave the room during discussion of the feasibility study. County Cllr Clare Paul said that as a member of the Parish Council he should declare an interest. Cllr Derek Yeomans advised that he could make a statement, leave the table and move out into the public gallery. He felt that this would cover the criteria and this was agreed.

666). **CO-OPTION OF SECOND COUNCILLOR**

Ed Rosa advised that he had lived in Curry Rivel for four years. He wished to join the Parish Council because he believed it was a good village, with a good number of people. He felt that members of the younger generation should be represented on the Parish Council because they have a different point of view on things. He would like to have the opportunity to help to ensure that this great village continues to flourish and do his part towards it.

Cllr Andy Anderson asked if the members had any questions to raise. Cllr Derek Yeomans asked if Mr Rosa was aware that, because he is very closely entwined with Mr Macey's businesses, he would have to declare an interest in any matters referring to the enterprises or developments that Mr Macey was involved in. He would have to leave the room and not contribute in any way because he would have a prejudicial interest. Cllr Andy Anderson said that he had already briefed Ed Rosa of the rules concerning 'declarations of interests'.

A vote was taken and it was unanimously agreed to accept Ed Rosa's application to become a Parish Councillor. He was invited to join the members.

667). **APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE**

There were no apologies for absence

668). **MINUTES OF THE MEETING HELD ON 1ST AUGUST 2019**

The Minutes of the meeting, having been circulated to the members who had attended the meeting, were approved on the proposal of Cllr Derek Yeomans, seconded by Cllr Simon Scarborough.

669). **ACTIONS FROM PREVIOUS MEETINGS**

For the benefit of the new councillors, Cllr Tony Greenaway explained that the Action List was circulated to the members giving a summary of on-going actions. He would run down the actions from the last meeting and ask for an update.

- a). Damage to the overhead barrier at Westfield - The Clerk advised that she had endeavoured to get in touch with the Ombudsman without much success. Cllr Peter Goodenough suggested that the Clerk should contact Paul Deacon who works with the Citizens Advice Bureau. The Clerk would continue to try and contact him.
- b). Transfer of land to the Parish Council from Linden House - ongoing

- c). MUGA – Cllr Les Hood advised that he would be completing the work shortly.
- d). Hedges along Parsonage to Stanchester – the Clerk suggested that this item be discussed later. Madelaine King-Oakley had previously dealt with this and had contacted the relevant residents. Unfortunately, the Clerk did not have this information and letters had been delivered to incorrect addresses. She had obtained a quotation for cutting the hedge in the sum of £400 plus vat. Cllr Andy Anderson said that we should allow the residents the opportunity to cut back their hedge. If they fail to do that, we could take further action. Various suggestions were put forward, including contacting the SSDC Street Scene Team. Following discussion, it was agreed that it was necessary to send the letter to those responsible, in order that they are aware what our intentions are. The Clerk was asked to prepare the letters and Cllr Andy Anderson would deliver them.
- e). Repair of Mrs Linham’s fence – the Clerk had been advised that it was necessary to install a new panel and she was seeking a quotation from a company in Langport.
- f). L9/8 Townsend - Cllr Les Hood said that he had sent an email to them saying that the track does not belong to Somerset County Council and to have a look at their Deeds or contact their solicitor to find out who owns it.
- g). Replacement of broken swing seat. The Clerk had placed an order for a new seat and delivery was still awaited – ongoing.
- h). Footpath bridge Wick Rhyn that connects Curry Rivel to Aller - Cllr Peter Goodenough said that David Mayor is the Chairman of Aller Parish Council. A date had yet to be set for a meeting but, he is very keen that we work together on this. Cllr Terry Mounter said that the bridge had been down for a number of years.
- i). Hellards Hill right to bid – Cllr Peter Goodenough advised that Somerset County Council have said that they are very likely to give us six months to raise the money. They require a formal letter from the Chairman and they will then probably agree with that. Cllr Andy Anderson proposed that Cllr Peter Goodenough should take the lead in raising the money for the Hellards Hill right to bid. This was seconded by Cllr Robert Atkins and agreed by Cllrs Tony Greenaway, Simon Scarborough and Derek Yeomans. The new councillors Terry Mounter and Ed Rosa abstained. – ongoing
- j). Risk Register – ongoing.

670). **MATTERS ARISING NOT ON THE AGENDA**

a). **Rural Funding Digest**

Cllr Andy Anderson asked if the members had received his email. He had sent it because some of that Rural Funding may come into play for the Primary School and he suggested that the councillors make use of it.

b). **Dog Mess**

Cllr Andy Anderson said that he had received a few complaints about dog mess, particularly in Church Road outside the Primary School and opposite the Village Hall. He has spoken to the Dog Warden who occasionally comes out and takes photographs and if the offenders are caught, they will get on the spot fines.

Adjournment to Allow Public Comment

671). **SAFETY INDICATOR DEVICES**

Cllr Andy Anderson said that he had invited two companies to come to this meeting but, only one

had managed to send a representative tonight. The other company has expressed an interest and they would like to meet the Parish Council sometime in the very near future but, they can only do it between 9am and 2pm in the afternoon.

The gentleman presenting the demonstration said that he was standing in for the Sales Manager who was on extended sick leave. He would take the meeting through as much as he could. He would answer as many questions as he could. If he was unable to answer them, he would get back to us as soon as he can. The batteries are dependent on the amount of traffic. It is operated with four batteries which are re-chargeable.

- 672). **DECISION TO BE MADE ON THE WAY FORWARD FOR THE NEW VILLAGE HALL FEASIBILITY STUDY (Cllr Terry Mounter would abstain from discussion of this item)**
Cllr Peter Goodenough said that in January 2016 the inception of this whole process came from the Parish Plan, where the original idea arose. The reason why we started to look at 2016 as a new village hall was because the amount of volume required for a village of the size of Curry Rivel was 462 square metres and this hall is 172.6 square metres. This was something raised by Madelaine King-Oakley because she initiated the search for ground for a new village hall. In January 2016 we said that we should try and bring together the whole situation with the Changing Rooms and the sports field in one part. The way that Madelaine King-Oakley decided to take it forward was to undergo some private negotiations in which Tiffany Osborne was involved. The Parish Council was not involved in these negotiations. The upshot of this is the plan that has come forward for a village hall in a location where the landowners can acquire approval for building some houses as well. The village hall does meet the requirements for size but, it does not include any land for the provision of a playing field or changing rooms. The original idea, in the short term, was to try and test the opinion of the villagers about having a new village hall and then the long term was to find land and a plan for it. The way we have taken forward is not quite as simple as we had to involve the existing village hall in the statutory so that it would be sold and perhaps part of Westfield could be developed. Madelaine King-Oakley and District Cllr Tiffany Osborne had worked on the plan and it had not come back until this year.

Cllr. Derek Yeomans said that he had looked at the details and the price that is going to be charged and the ultimate cost which is going to be in the region of £10,000. He was reminded members that we are all jointly and severally responsible for the correct, prudent and legal expenditure of public money set in our Precept. We have already said this after some private discussions between Madelaine King-Oakley and the two daughters of Dudley Hunt who own the field. Reading Mr Preston's notes the applications are promised to be submitted concurrently with ours going in first, followed by one from the landowners for six 4-bedroom dwellings. The original cost would be £1,000 each between the two applications, plus the time involving Mr Preston. The inspection costs are going to fall to us and possibly the archaeological ones we must not discount, because there is a house on the other side of Back Lane, which has a field adjacent to it. Many years ago, he had gone down there when that field was up for sale and was told that at the bottom of the field there is a Roman Villa with a mosaic floor. He felt that, basically, if this goes through and in the unlikely event that we spend £10,000/£12,000 going through the pre-agenda, the re-consideration by the planners, and all the various studies that might have to be carried out and listed on Mr Preston's form, the cost is going to be huge and there is no guarantee of success and we might be turned down. If we were to go ahead, then that field will have planning permission. Later in the meeting we will be discussing our response to the Local Plan ie, number of houses, the amount of traffic and all the other things we would like to see. Everything that we put in our return to the District Council will be contrary to this possible massive land application. Once those houses have planning approval, the value of that field, which is possibly valued at £160,000 now, will immediately go up to millions. We know this because South Somerset District Council is looking for land. He felt that this was a

disaster waiting to happen and he wished to have nothing to do with it. He considered it totally wrong and wished his remarks to be noted.

Cllr Derek Yeomans said that we are accepting that if we actually get permission as the ‘stalking horse’ to try and en-gender approval of the 4-bedroom houses and then we are accepting an ‘inducement’ of land at a notional price to site our potential Village Hall. In his opinion, it was ultra-vires and he was not going to spend the rest of his life in disgrace by agreeing to it.

Cllr Les Hood said that he did not think that we were a village yet. It is only a proposal. Cllr Derek Yeomans said that he had attended a meeting at Bow Wharf and there was absolutely no interest and they were not listening to people in Huish Episcopi and others that had the same concerns as you have. They just ignored them. Cllr Les Hood said that he felt that we ought to fight, if possible, to becoming a village. Cllr Peter Goodenough said that he had gone to the meeting and had spoken to Tessa Sanders. He had expressed what Cllr Les Hood has said in very stringent terms and she has agreed to meet with Cllr Les Hood, himself and the Chair in Yeovil in September, with an item on the Agenda preventing us becoming a village.

Cllr Peter Goodenough said that when he had met with Gary Warren, talking about other matters, he expressed an interest in this and said that if you want to build a Village Hall, why not wait until they develop the whole field and get enough money from the CIA to build a Village Hall. Cllr Derek Yeomans said that when that land goes for development it will be worth an awful lot of money on the open market.

Cllr Andy Anderson wished to question what grounds we would have to refuse planning permission for that field. Cllr Derek Yeomans said that if we did not put in our planning application for the Village Hall, he did not know how important that Village Hall planning permission would be to trigger the initial permission three landowners are going to ask for and he felt that our planning permission would be a useful adjunct to get their planning permission.

Cllr Les Hood asked whether we could try to procure the bulk of the land for sports facilities. Cllr Peter Goodenough said that is the crunch point. If we go back to the owners and ask if they would dedicate the majority of the field for a sports field. If they said no, we would have to walk away.

District Cllr Tiffany Osborne said that she had been surprised to see that a road had been added to the plan. The access point for public parking would be off to the right as you go in and not straight down because this would be for agricultural vehicles. District Cllr Tiffany Osborne suggested that we could ask Greenslade Taylor Hunt if there is a proposal for leaving a road for future development. Is that part of the deal? Cllr Andy Anderson said that we need to determine what that access road is for. If it is for building more houses, we would probably need to walk away. Cllr Peter Goodenough pointed out that we do not need to make enquires because written on a plan with an arrow pointing across the road is a sign indicating ‘proposed access for future development’. Cllr Andy Anderson said he would write concerning this. Cllr Peter Goodenough still felt that if they were willing to give at least half of the remaining area for a recreation field and then we could have a discussion with the Village Hall Committee about the strategy of selling this village hall and also developing Westfield.

Cllr Andy Anderson said that at the present time we would not move on a vote of a Feasibility Study. He would take it back to Greenslade Taylor Hunt about the access road because that differs from the original proposal that was sent out.

- 673). **PLANNING REPORT**
a). **Planning Application Received**
Application No. 19/01861/FUL

The erection of two dwellings with garages and new vehicular access. Land adjoining Kirkholme, Back Lane, Curry Rivel, Langport, Somerset.

Resident 1- said that the speed through the main road is 30mph and Back Lane has a national scheme limit of 60mph. In the application it was referred to as a quiet family lane and you realise that it is not because it is constantly used as a cut-through and it is used to get to Hambridge. We live next to the application which is on the most dangerous part of the lane. I believe that the Highways Department has been out and has said that, basically, it is not really an acceptable site. Two more houses in the most dangerous part of that lane will not make it any safer. The application was for two detached four-bedroomed houses whereas in the rest of the lane there are cottages with three bedrooms. All they have done is to push them together and make it a semi. There is the potential for four or six cars coming in and out of a single entrance.

Resident 2 - said that they had exactly the same concerns and the impact of additional traffic on that area. The proposed development would not match in with the existing properties.

Resident 3 – said that in that short length of road there are eight exits.

Cllr Andy Anderson said that his concern about this application was the size of the development because it is not in keeping with the surrounding area and he was also concerned about the visibility splay to the right as you exit the dwellings. There is a bend in the road which, in his view, is going to obscure any approaching vehicle from the right-hand side. They want to take down a hedge and build a wall. He considered this would further interfere with the visibility.

Cllr Andy Anderson proposed that we object to the proposed development. This was seconded by Cllr Derek Yeomans and unanimously agreed. He advised that six objections had been registered and recommended the residents affected by this proposed development to submit their objections to the District Council.

Cllr Derek Yeomans asked whether the residents were affected by the wedding events held further down the road and was advised that they were not really affected. It depends which way the wind is blowing but, they very rarely hear them.

674). **REPORTS FROM DISTRICT & COUNTY COUNCILLORS**

County Cllr Clare Paul asked if the chairman had received the email about grant applications. It used to be called a Health & Wellbeing grant and now it is called an Improving Life grant. She had sent the Chairman the form and if you complete it and get it back to me. The actual councillor has to complete the form and it would be appreciated if it could be returned as soon as possible.

Cllr Andy Anderson said he was trying to research this Improving Life grant and there is nothing helpful on the District Council Website. The government does have Improving Life grant information but, that is not very helpful. What can we use the money for or what can we apply for? Can we apply as a Parish Council and then donate it to someone within the village, such as the group that used the village hall here or does it have to be for a specific purpose? County Cllr Clare Paul said she would send him the members' Briefing Sheet. The over-arching format if you have a project or anything at all that improves people's lives within the community, being a tree planted near a bench, equipment for play, etc. When asked about the amount of such grants, County Cllr Clare Paul said she hoped to be able to give a Parish Council in the community up to £1,000 but, if you have something that costs, say, £1,400 this could be considered. Cllr Tony Greenaway presumed this referred to physical wellbeing. County Cllr Clare Paul said that it could be for mental, physical or anything you like. If you have a project or a pilot scheme, it would be considered. A question was raised as to whether a grant to the school could be considered and was advised not

directly to the school but, possibly a play group using the school facility. She considered that a grant directly to the school would be refused.

District Cllr Tiffany Osborne said that they were still short of staff in the planning department. People trying to contact planning are having difficulty in getting through. People are almost building their house before they get permission. There have been some break-ins down Stoney Lane

675). **ROAD MARKINGS ON JUNCTION OF MAPLE ROAD EXITING ONTO STANCHESTER WAY**

- a). Cllr Andy Anderson said that this item was put on the Agenda in respect of a meeting that he was due to have with a representative from Highways but, unfortunately, Gary Warren cried off the day he was supposed to meet him. It was changed to Monday which he could not manage and Cllr Peter Goodenough went in his place. Gary Warren is the Highways Officer covering our Parish and we had a good look at the road markings at Stanchester and other areas. He said that they were all badly worn and so he is putting in place a comprehensive road marking package for the village to replace them. He also advised that double yellow lines would be put along the road in the High Street on the south side from 'One Stop' up to the close and there will be parking outside the 'One Stop' for a short time. That is going to be carried out very soon. He then spoke about the road signs and said what you have all said is that they are not very good. Why did we not use the service that they provide for putting up new road signs. They have some road signs that could include the logo of the Monument which back in 2016/2017 we were going to include in road signs. If we did that, we could use the existing signs on minor roads.
- b). The other item discussed was looking at the plans for the proposed Village Hall. He said that obviously the roads are there because they are going to develop the whole field. He said just tell them to put their cards on the table and put the whole development in and then you will get that money. We also talked about putting in some electric charging points around the village green. He felt that would be a very good idea and he would have no objection. Cllr Andy Anderson said that these items should feature on our next Agenda.
- c). Cllr Tony Greenaway referred to the state of the road at the top and bottom which had been mentioned previously a couple of years ago. The surfaces are broken and are quite bad and there are potholes. He noticed that there are some yellow markings around some of the potholes. The road surface needs to be looked at again.

676). **NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN**

Cllr Tony Greenaway said that in view of tonight's meeting we have a stark choice really. Either give way to all of the proposals about the local plan and have housing built on a field in the middle of the village to get our Village Hall or we fight it; we fight the Local Plan. He said there was another item about the Neighbourhood Plan further down which he could deal with together.

At the last meeting, Cllrs Peter Goodenough, Les Hood, Simon Scarborough and himself all agreed to get together to try and look to see if we should prepare a Neighbourhood Plan. We know the history of the previous Parish Plan and we met on the 28th August and we all had the opportunity to view the consultation paper on the Local Plan. Round the table we shared the view that the Local Plan was fundamentally flawed. Curry Rivel was a rural settlement village. It was not providing sufficient land, or consideration for business and employment. We all thought it was in direct contradiction to the climate emergency plan as well. Our recommendation to the council tonight is to forget the notion of the Neighbourhood Plan on the grounds that it will have to support the Local Plan anyway. We should possibly concentrate efforts on to resisting the Local Plan and raising awareness of the community. Getting as many people as possible to respond indicating their support or their objections through the consultation process which is on the South Somerset Website. We only have until the 18th/19th September to do that.

Cllr Andy Anderson said that they have written a response and that will be submitted. Cllr Peter Goodenough said that the response has been seen by everyone. We have to agree tonight whether or not that is our policy, as a council, so that it can go forward. Cllrs Les Hood, Peter Goodenough and the Chairman have a meeting with a lady from the planning department at Yeovil on the 16th to reinforce the document we have written and to voice our opposition to the Local Plan as it applies to Curry Rivel.

Cllr Derek Yeomans said he was happy to propose that we should go ahead with the views of the three councillors but, his personal view was that 80% of the villages and towns affected by the so-called plans imposed on them would be making the very same response. The very same response, which he did not disagree with. He thought it was perfectly reasonable. At the end of the day, it is necessary to write the Local Plan Consultation document because it has to be presented to the Inspector in 2020 for his approval in 2021 as a Local Plan document. He did not believe that they are going to re-write the document that had taken two years to produce. They may put a few comments in at the end, together with the statements of the consultants and the various parishes and councils on a document which will go before the Inspector. He was quite happy to propose that we accept the document written by the three councillors as a reasonable rejection of the village status being imposed on us.

Cllr Peter Goodenough said that he would not be so pessimistic because there are definitely unique factors affecting Curry Rivel. If we look at the Plan where the twelve villages are, we are far to the north and the fact that they are so downbeat about any chance of employment in the Langport, Curry Rivel, Fivehead areas. They have to reduce their open-development land in this area considerably from the 2015 plan. Even the Chair of the South Somerset District Council writes an introduction to the plan saying that the employment situation is stable and they actually state in part of the last development plan, that the developers have put a new class in for Huish and some offices at Bow Wharf. They do not see any further development going ahead. So, our case about comparing the climate emergency that they recognised and declared, with the fact that there is going to be no employment and it is going to lead to much greater traffic coming in and out of Curry Rivel, with a much greater carbon footprint, is much stronger than any of the other villages.

Cllr Peter Goodenough said that we need to put together a cogent plan of why we see that development in this village is a very bad thing in the light of the public emergency that has been declared. They are trying to turn Curry Rivel into a dormitory village with no employment in the future. We do not need to re-write the whole plan. All we have to do is take Curry Rivel out of the village sector and put it back into the rural sector. That is a very easy thing to do.

Cllr Andy Anderson proposed that we should submit that response and feature it on the Website. This was seconded Cllr Peter Goodenough and unanimously agreed. Cllr Les Hood suggested that we should get the residents to write in and object to the existing Local Plan. Cllr Tony Greenaway said that the second part of the response was to raise awareness of the implications of the proposal put forward for the settlement and to encourage residents to object in no uncertain terms, to that proposal. There is an article already drawn up to publish on the Website and the Facebook page. It also needs to go out by word of mouth. Cllr Simon Scarborough suggested that a leaflet drop could be made. Cllr Derek Yeomans said that the Western Gazette correspondent used to attend our meetings and this was another source that could be followed up.

677). **FLY TIPPING – DYERS ROAD**

Cllr Simon Scarborough said that he has chased this fly tipping three times since the last meeting. Notices were put up by the Parish Council and by 8am the following morning they had been ripped off. Cllr Andy Anderson asked if there was room to put a sticker on that would be difficult to

remove. Cllr Simon Scarborough said that there was only one telegraph pole. Cllr Terry Mounter suggested that we could write to the adjacent house owners and seek their help in finding the culprits. Cllr Andy Anderson said he could draft a letter that could be sent out.

678). **PROJECT MANAGEMENT PLAN COMMITTEE – CURRY RIVEL PTA**

School Playground Equipment Grant

Cllr Simon Scarborough said that he had a meeting tomorrow at 11am to see the Deputy Head Teacher. There are a number of opportunities through the National Lottery that they have not considered. There is also some information to come through from the Gardening Compound and the Community Business Grant.

679). **FINANCE REPORT**

a). **Accounts for payment**

The following accounts were approved for payment on the proposition of Cllr Peter Goodenough seconded by Cllr Les Hood:-

Cllr Andy Anderson via B&Q – paint and brush for Bus Shelter	£ 21.32
Cllr Les Hood via Brewers Decorator Centres – paint and brush for the MUGA	£ 47.84
Clerk’s Expenses 1 st March 2018 to 31 st March 2019	£ 785.12
Clerk’s Wages for Month 5	£ 348.90
Curry Rivel Village Hall Rent	£ 52.50

b). **Westfield Barrier repair insurance claim**

The Clerk was still trying to contact the Ombudsman

680). **CORRESPONDENCE**

a). Cllr Andy Anderson said that he had received an email from the Twinning Association thanking the Parish Council for their contribution towards the French visit and also the contribution towards the French lessons at the Primary School. He said he did not know if the contribution towards the lessons would continue because Barbara Hamlin would be giving it up.

b). **South Somerset District Council**

Councillors are invited to attend the following meetings:-

Accessing Planning Digitally – Workshop 24th September – hourly from 4.30pm to 6.30pm
24th September

Area North Annual Town & Parish Meeting – 6pm to 8pm – 24th October at Norton Sub Hamdon Village Hall

Cllrs Andy Anderson and Peter Goodenough would attend the Annual Meeting on the 24th October and Cllr Andy Anderson would attend the meeting on the 24th September.

681). **ITEMS FOR A FUTURE AGENDA**

a). The Village Green

b). Risk Register

CHAIRMAN

DATE
